

**STATE CONSUMER HEALTH INFORMATION AND POLICY ADVISORY
COUNCIL ADDITIONAL PRIORITIES 2007**

PERFORMANCE MEASURE EVALUATION

Priority Area:

Name:

Measure #:

Source:

Description:

Date of Last Review/Update:

Proprietary Status:

SPECIFICATIONS:	
Numerator:	Denominator:
Adjusted Variables:	Data Source:
Data analysis logic and method:	

RATIONALE:	
Measure Type:	Relation to Outcomes:
Relation to Clinical Practice Guidelines:	

IMPORTANT:	
Key leverage point:	Variation in quality:
Population Differences:	Significant opportunity for improvement:
Useful to the public:	

PERFORMANCE MEASURE EVALUATION (cont.)

SCIENTIFICALLY ACCEPTABLE:	
Precisely specified:	Reliable:
Valid:	Statistical Significance:
Adjustments:	

FEASIBLE:	
Readiness:	Data Collection Method:
Frequency of Data Collection:	Burden:
Auditing:	

USEABLE:	
Purpose:	Conditions for use:
Relevant Differentiation:	Reporting:
Adaptable:	Transparency of Adjustment:
Composite Measure:	

PERFORMANCE MEASURE EVALUATION (cont.)

WORKGROUP DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATION:

1. What are the technical strengths and weaknesses of the measure in assessing performance?
2. Are there any technical reasons why the workgroup should not recommend this measure for endorsement?
3. Are there any technical reasons why the workgroup should **STRONGLY** recommend this measure for endorsement?
4. Should this measure be paired with another for technical reasons?
5. Is this measure suitable for accountability / public reporting?